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A. Needs Assessment

• Clinical and/or Supervisory Role?
• Treatment Planning and Individualized Treatment in Group Settings
• Implementation issues - staff, services, training issues

1. Common Misconceptions about The ASAM Criteria

• Placement criteria are seen as treatment matching criteria
• ASAM Criteria must require more staff, expense, and administration to provide all the levels
• ASAM Criteria is a medical model and requires everyone to hire a medical director
• ASAM Criteria is biased to advocate for more inpatient treatment
• ASAM Criteria is biased to advocate for more outpatient treatment
• ASAM Criteria is not useful because the many levels of care and withdrawal management services don’t exist locally
• ASAM Criteria is not useful since managed care or other payers don’t recognize or fund all the levels

2. Current State of the Art and Dilemmas for Systems Change

A. What is one thing you think needs to change to implement the spirit and content of The ASAM Criteria?

B. What is one thing you would like to change but believe the current system blocks?

C. Which stakeholders do you think are most reluctant to change? (Clinicians, clinical supervisors, treatment administrators, county administrators, payers and managed care, referral sources e.g., criminal justice, child protective services, employers, consumers and peer specialists etc.)
B. **Underlying Principles and Concepts of the ASAM Criteria**

1. **Generations of Clinical Care**

   (a) **Complications-driven Treatment**

   - No diagnosis of Substance Use Disorder
   - Treatment of complications of addiction with no continuing care
   - Relapse triggers treatment of complications only

   ![Diagram of Complications-driven Treatment]

   (b) **Diagnosis, Program-driven Treatment**

   - Diagnosis determines treatment
   - Treatment is the primary program and aftercare
   - Relapse triggers a repeat of the program

   ![Diagram of Diagnosis, Program-driven Treatment]

   (c) **Individualized, Clinically-driven Treatment**

   ![Diagram of Individualized, Clinically-driven Treatment]
(d) Measurement-based Care - Feedback Informed Treatment

2. **Assessment of Biopsychosocial Severity and Function** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 43-53)*

The common language of six ASAM Criteria dimensions determine needs/strengths in behavioral health:
1. Acute intoxication and/or withdrawal potential
2. Biomedical conditions and complications
3. Emotional/behavioral/cognitive conditions and complications
4. Readiness to Change
5. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem potential
6. Recovery environment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Dimensions</th>
<th>Assessment and Treatment Planning Focus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Acute Intoxication and/or Withdrawal Potential</td>
<td>Assessment for intoxication and/or withdrawal management. Withdrawal management in a variety of levels of care and preparation for continued addiction services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Biomedical Conditions and Complications</td>
<td>Assess and treat co-occurring physical health conditions or complications. Treatment provided within the level of care or through coordination of physical health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Emotional, Behavioral or Cognitive Conditions and Complications</td>
<td>Assess and treat co-occurring diagnostic or sub-diagnostic mental health conditions or complications. Treatment provided within the level of care or through coordination of mental health services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Readiness to Change</td>
<td>Assess stage of readiness to change. If not ready to commit to full recovery, engage into treatment using motivational enhancement strategies. If ready for recovery, consolidate and expand action for change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Relapse, Continued Use or Continued Problem Potential</td>
<td>Assess readiness for relapse prevention services and teach where appropriate. If still at early stages of change, focus on raising consciousness of consequences of continued use or problems with motivational strategies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. Recovery Environment</td>
<td>Assess need for specific individualized family or significant other, housing, financial, vocational, educational, legal, transportation, childcare services</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3. **Biopsychosocial Treatment - Overview: 5 M’s**

  * Motivate - Dimension 4 issues; engagement and alliance building
  * Manage - the family, significant others, work/school, legal
  * Medication – withdrawal management; HIV/AIDS; anti-craving anti-addiction meds MAT; disulfiram, methadone; buprenorphine, naltrexone, acamprosate, psychotropic medication
  * Meetings - AA, NA, Al-Anon; SMART Recovery, Dual Recovery Anonymous, etc.
  * Monitor - continuity of care; relapse prevention; family and significant others

4. **Treatment Levels of Service** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 106-107)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASAM Criteria Level of Withdrawal Management Services for Adults</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Note: There are no separate Withdrawal Management Services for Adolescents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Withdrawal Management without Extended On-Site Monitoring</td>
<td>1-WM</td>
<td>Mild withdrawal with daily or less than daily outpatient supervision; likely to complete withdrawal management and to continue treatment or recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ambulatory Withdrawal Management with Extended On-Site Monitoring</td>
<td>2-WM</td>
<td>Moderate withdrawal with all day WM support and supervision; at night, has supportive family or living situation; likely to complete WM.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed Residential Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>3.2-WM</td>
<td>Moderate withdrawal, but needs 24-hour support to complete WM and increase likelihood of continuing treatment or recovery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Monitored Inpatient Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>3.7-WM</td>
<td>Severe withdrawal and needs 24-hour nursing care and physician visits as necessary; unlikely to complete WM without medical, nursing monitoring</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Managed Inpatient Withdrawal Management</td>
<td>4-WM</td>
<td>Severe, unstable withdrawal and needs 24-hour nursing care and daily physician visits to modify WM regimen and manage medical instability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASAM Criteria Levels of Care</th>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Same Levels of Care for Adolescents except Level 3.3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Early Intervention</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>Assessment and education for at risk individuals who do not meet diagnostic criteria for Substance-Related Disorder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Outpatient Services</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>Less than 9 hours of service/week (adults); less than 6 hours/week (adolescents) for recovery or motivational enhancement therapies/strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intensive Outpatient</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>9 or more hours of service/week (adults); 6 or more hours/week (adolescents) to treat multidimensional instability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partial Hospitalization</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>20 or more hours of service/week for multidimensional instability not requiring 24 hour care</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed Low-Intensity Residential</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>24 hour structure with available trained personnel; at least 5 hours of clinical service/week</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically Managed Population-Specific High-Intensity Residential Services (Adult criteria only)</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>24 hour care with trained counselors to stabilize multidimensional imminent danger. Less intense milieu and group treatment for those with cognitive or other impairments unable to use full active milieu or therapeutic community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinically-Managed High-Intensity Residential</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>24 hour care with trained counselors to stabilize multidimensional imminent danger and prepare for outpatient treatment. Able to tolerate and use full active milieu or therapeutic community</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Monitored Intensive Inpatient</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>24 hour nursing care with physician availability for significant problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. Sixteen hour/day counselor ability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medically-Manged Intensive Inpatient</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>24 hour nursing care and daily physician care for severe, unstable problems in Dimensions 1, 2 or 3. Counseling available to engage patient in treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Opioid Treatment Services</td>
<td>OTS</td>
<td>Opioid Treatment Program (OTP) – agonist meds: methadone, buprenorphine; Office Based Opioid Treatment (OBOT); antagonist medication - naltrexone</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
C. **How to Organize Assessment Data to Match Level of Care**

- What Does the Client Want? Why Now?
- Does client have immediate needs due to imminent risk in any of the six assessment dimensions?
- Conduct multidimensional assessment
- What are the DSM-5 diagnoses?
- Multidimensional Severity/LOF Profile
- Identify which assessment dimensions are currently most important to determine Tx priorities
- Choose a specific focus and target for each priority dimension
- What specific services are needed for each dimension?
- What “dose” or intensity of these services is needed for each dimension?
- Where can these services be provided, in the least intensive, but safe level of care or site of care?
- What is the progress of the treatment plan and placement decision; outcomes measurement?

*(The ASAM Criteria 2013, p 124)*
2. **Assessing Severity and Level of Function** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 54-56)*

To determine the multidimensional severity or level of function profile, consider each of the six ASAM ASAM Criteria dimensions as regards pertinent assessment data organized under the three H’s - History, Here and Now, How Worried Now.

The *History* of a client’s past signs, symptoms and treatment is important, but never overrides the *Here and Now* of how a client is presenting currently in signs and symptoms. e.g., if a person has by History had severe alcohol withdrawal with seizures, but has not been drinking Here and Now at a rate or quantity that would predict any significant withdrawal; and as you look at them, they are not shaky or in withdrawal so you are not Worried about severe withdrawal - then there is no significant Dimension 1 severity.

The *Here and Now* presentation of a client’s current information of substance use and mental health signs and symptoms can override the *History* e.g., if a person has never had serious suicidal behavior before by History; and in the Here and Now is indeed depressed and impulsively suicidal, you would not dismiss their severe suicidality just because they had never done anything serious before. Especially if you talked with them now and you are Worried that they could not reach out to someone if they became impulsive, then the Dimension 3 severity would be quite high.

*How Worried Now* you are as the clinician, counselor or assessor determines your severity or level of function (LOF) rating for each ASAM dimension. The combination of the three H’s: History; Here and Now; and How Worried Now guides the clinician in presenting the severity and LOF profile.

3. **Rating Risk on a Scale of 0 - 4** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp 57, 74-89)*

   - **4** - This rating would indicate issues of **utmost severity**. The patient would present with critical impairments in coping and functioning, with signs and symptoms, indicating an “**imminent danger**” concern.
   - **3** - This rating would indicate a **serious issue** or difficulty coping within a given dimension. A patient presenting at this level of risk may be considered in or near “imminent danger.”
   - **2** - This rating would indicate **moderate difficulty** in functioning. However, even with moderate impairment, or somewhat persistent chronic issues, relevant skills or support systems may be present.
   - **1** - This rating would indicate a **mildly difficult issue**, or present minor signs and symptoms. Any existing chronic issues or problems would be able to be resolved in a short period of time.
   - **0** - This rating would indicate a **non-issue or very low-risk issue**. The patient would present no current risk and any chronic issues would be mostly or entirely stabilized.

4. **Imminent Danger** *(The ASAM Criteria 2013, pp. 65-58) - Three components:*  

   1. A strong probability that certain behaviors (such as continued alcohol or other drug use or addictive behavior relapse) will occur.
   2. The likelihood that such behaviors will present a significant risk of serious adverse consequences to the individual and/or others (as in reckless driving while intoxicated, or neglect of a child).
   3. The likelihood that such adverse events will occur in the very near future, within hours and days, rather than weeks or months.
5. **Continued Service and Discharge Criteria** (*The ASAM Criteria* 2013, pp 299-306)

After the admission criteria for a given level of care have been met, the criteria for continued service, discharge or transfer from that level of care are as follows:

**Continued Service Criteria**: It is appropriate to retain the patient at the present level of care if:

1. The patient is making progress, but has not yet achieved the goals articulated in the individualized treatment plan. Continued treatment at the present level of care is assessed as necessary to permit the patient to continue to work toward his or her treatment goals;
   
   or

2. The patient is not yet making progress but has the capacity to resolve his or her problems. He or she is actively working on the goals articulated in the individualized treatment plan. Continued treatment at the present level of care is assessed as necessary to permit the patient to continue to work toward his or her treatment goals;

   and/or

3. New problems have been identified that are appropriately treated at the present level of care. This level is the least intensive at which the patient’s new problems can be addressed effectively.

To document and communicate the patient’s readiness for discharge or need for transfer to another level of care, each of the six dimensions of the ASAM criteria should be reviewed. If the criteria apply to the patient’s existing or new problem(s), the patient should continue in treatment at the present level of care. If not, refer to the Discharge/Transfer Criteria, below.

**Discharge/Transfer Criteria**: It is appropriate to transfer or discharge the patient from the present level of care if he or she meets the following criteria:

1. The patient has achieved the goals articulated in his or her individualized treatment plan, thus resolving the problem(s) that justified admission to the current level of care;
   
   or

2. The patient has been unable to resolve the problem(s) that justified admission to the present level of care, despite amendments to the treatment plan. Treatment at another level of care or type of service therefore is indicated;

   or

3. The patient has demonstrated a lack of capacity to resolve his or her problem(s). Treatment at another level of care or type of service therefore is indicated;

   or

4. The patient has experienced an intensification of his or her problem(s), or has developed a new problem(s), and can be treated effectively only at a more intensive level of care.

To document and communicate the patient’s readiness for discharge or need for transfer to another level of care, each of the six dimensions of the ASAM criteria should be reviewed. If the criteria apply to the existing or new problem(s), the patient should be discharged or transferred, as appropriate. If not, refer to the Continued Service criteria.

**D. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem Potential - Dimension 5** (*The ASAM Criteria* 2013, pp 401-410)

**A. Historical Pattern of Use**

1. Chronicity of Problem Use
   
   • Since when and how long has the individual had problem use or dependence and at what level of severity?

2. Treatment or Change Response
   
   • Has he/she managed brief or extended abstinence or reduction in the past?
B. Pharmacologic Responsivity
   3. Positive Reinforcement (pleasure, euphoria)
   4. Negative Reinforcement (withdrawal discomfort, fear)

C. External Stimuli Responsivity
   5. Reactivity to Acute Cues (trigger objects and situations)
   6. Reactivity to Chronic Stress (positive and negative stressors)

D. Cognitive and behavioral measures of strengths and weaknesses
   7. Locus of Control and Self-efficacy
      • Is there an internal sense of self-determination and confidence that the individual can direct his/her own behavioral change?
   8. Coping Skills (including stimulus control, other cognitive strategies)
   9. Impulsivity (risk-taking, thrill-seeking)
  10. Passive and passive/aggressive behavior
      • Does individual demonstrate active efforts to anticipate and cope with internal and external stressors, or is there a tendency to leave or assign responsibility to others?

Example Policy and Procedure to Deal with Dimension 5 Recovery/Psychosocial Crises

Recovery and Psychosocial Crises cover a variety of situations that can arise while a patient is in treatment. Examples include, but are not limited to, the following:

1. Slip/using alcohol or other drugs while in treatment.
2. Suicidal, and the individual is feeling impulsive or wanting to use alcohol or other drugs.
3. Loss or death, disrupting the person's recovery and precipitating cravings to use/impulsive behavior.
4. Disagreements, anger, frustration with fellow patients or therapist.

The following procedures provide steps to assist in implementing the principle of re-assessment and modification of the treatment plan:

1. Set up a face-to-face appointment as soon as possible. If not possible in a timely fashion, follow the next steps via telephone.

2. Convey an attitude of acceptance; listen and seek to understand the patient's point of view rather than lecture, enforce "program rules," or dismiss the patient's perspective.

3. Assess the patient's safety for intoxication/withdrawal and imminent risk of impulsive behavior and harm to self, others, or property. Use the six ASAM assessment dimensions to screen for severe problems and identify new issues in all biopsychosocial areas.

   1. Acute intoxication and/or withdrawal potential
   2. Biomedical conditions and complications
   3. Emotional/behavioral/cognitive conditions and complications
   4. Readiness to Change
   5. Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem potential
   6. Recovery environment

4. If no immediate needs, discuss the circumstances surrounding the crisis, developing a sequence of events and precipitants leading up to the crisis. If the crisis is a slip, use the 6 dimensions as a guide to assess causes. If the crisis appears to be willful, defiant, non-adherence with the treatment plan, explore the patient's understanding of the treatment plan, level of agreement on the strategies in the treatment plan, and reasons s/he did not follow through.

5. Modify the treatment plan with patient input to address any new or updated problems that arose from your multidimensional assessment in steps 3 and 4 above.
6. Reassess the treatment contract and what the patient wants out of treatment, if there appears to be a lack of interest in developing a modified treatment plan in step 5 above. If it becomes clear that the patient is mandated and “doing time” rather than “doing treatment and change,” explore what Dimension 4, Readiness to Change motivational strategies may be effective in re-engaging the patient into treatment.

7. Determine if the modified strategies can be accomplished in the current level of care, or a more or less intensive level of care in the continuum of services or different services such as Co-Occurring Disorder Enhanced services. The level of care decision is based on the individualized treatment plan needs, not an automatic increase in the intensity of level of care.

8. If, on completion of step 6, the patient recognizes the problem/s, and understands the need to change the treatment plan to learn and apply new strategies to deal with the newly-identified issues, but still chooses not to accept treatment, then discharge is appropriate, as he or she has chosen not to improve his/her treatment in a positive direction. Such a patient may also demonstrate his/her lack of interest in treatment by bringing alcohol or other drugs into the treatment milieu and encouraging others to use or engage in gambling behavior while in treatment. If such behavior is a willful disruption to the treatment milieu and not overwhelming Dimension 5 issues to be assessed and treated, then discharge or criminal justice graduated sanctions are appropriate to promote a recovery environment.

9. If, however, the patient is invested in treatment as evidenced by collaboration to change his/her treatment plan in a positive direction, treatment should continue. To discharge or suspend a patient for an acute reoccurrence of signs and symptoms breaks continuity of care at precisely a crisis time when the patient needs support to continue treatment. For example, if the patient is not acutely intoxicated and has alcohol on his/her breath from a couple of beers, such an individual may come to group to explore what went wrong to cause a recurrence of use and to gain support and direction to change his/her treatment plan. Concerns about “triggering” others in the group are handled no differently from if a patient was sharing trauma issues, sobbing and this triggered identification and tearfulness in other group members. Such a patient with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder would not be excluded from group or asked to leave for triggering others. Group members and/or other patients in a residential setting are best helped to deal with such “triggering” with the support of peers and a trained clinician. To protect fellow patients from exposure to relapse or recurrence of signs and symptoms excludes the opportunity to learn new coping skills, In addition, it jeopardizes the safety of the patient at the very time he or she needs more support and guidance in such a crisis, rather than rejection, discharge, or transfer.

10. Document the crisis and modified treatment plan or discharge in the medical record.

E. The Coerced Client and Working with Referral Sources

The mandated client can often present as hostile and resistant because they are at “action” for staying out of jail; keeping their driver’s license; saving their job or marriage; or getting their children back. In working with referral agencies whether that be a judge, probation officer, child protective services, a spouse, employer or employee assistance professional, the goal is to use the leverage of the referral source to hold the client accountable to an assessment and follow through with the treatment plan.

Unfortunately, clinicians/programs often enable criminal justice thinking by blurring the boundaries between “doing time” and “doing treatment”. For everyone involved with mandated clients, the 3 C’s are:

- **Consequences** – It is within criminal justice’s mission to ensure that offenders take the consequences of their illegal behavior. If the court agrees that the behavior was largely caused by addiction and/or mental illness, and that the offender and the public is best served by providing treatment rather than punishment, then clinicians provide treatment not custody and incarceration. The obligation of clinicians is to ensure a person adheres to treatment; not to enforce consequences and compliance with court orders.

- **Compliance** – The offender is required to act in accordance with the court’s orders; rules and regulations. Criminal justice personnel should expect compliance. But clinicians are providing treatment where the focus is not on compliance to court orders. The focus is on whether there is a disorder needing treatment; and if there is, the expectation is for adherence to treatment, not compliance with “doing time” in a treatment place.
Control – The criminal justice system aims to control, if not eliminate, illegal acts that threaten the public. While control is appropriate for the courts, clinicians and treatment programs are focused on collaborative treatment and attracting people into recovery. The only time clinicians are required to control a client is if they are in imminent danger of harm to self or others. Otherwise, as soon as that imminent danger is stabilized, treatment resumes collaboration and client empowerment, not consequences, compliance and control.

The clinician should be the one to decide on what is clinically indicated rather than feeling disempowered to determine the level of service, type of service and length of service based on the assessment of the client and his/her stage of readiness to change. Clinicians are just that, not right arms of the law or the workplace to carry out mandates determined for reasons other than clinical.

Thus, working with referral sources and engaging the identified client into treatment involves all of the principles/concepts to meet both the referral source and the client wherever they are at; to join them in a common purpose relevant to their particular needs and reason for presenting for care. The issues span the following:

- Common purpose and mission – public safety; safety for children; similar outcome goals
- Common language of assessment of stage of change – models of stages of change
- Consensus philosophy of addressing readiness to change – meeting clients where they are at; solution-focused; motivational enhancement
- Consensus on how to combine resources and leverage to effect change, responsibility and accountability – coordinated efforts to create and provide incentives and supports for change
- Communication and conflict resolution - committed to common goals of public safety; responsibility, accountability, decreased legal recidivism and lasting change; keep our collective eyes on the prize “No one succeeds unless we all succeed!”

F. Gathering Data on Policy and Payment Barriers (The ASAM Criteria 2013, p 126)

policy, payment and systems issues cannot change quickly. However, as a first step towards reframing frustrating situations into systems change, each incident of inefficient or inadequate meeting of a client’s needs can be a data point that sets the foundation for strategic planning and change

Finding efficient ways to gather data as it happens in daily care can provide hope/direction for change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLACEMENT SUMMARY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Level of Care/Service Indicated</strong> - Insert the ASAM Level number that offers the most appropriate level of care/service that can provide the service intensity needed to address the client’s current functioning/severity; and/or the service needed e.g., shelter, housing, vocational training, transportation, language interpreter</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| **Level of Care/Service Received** - ASAM Level number -- If the most appropriate level or service is not utilized, insert the most appropriate placement or service available and circle the Reason for Difference between Indicated and Received Level or Service |


| **Anticipated Outcome If Service Cannot Be Provided** – Circle only one number - 1. Admitted to acute care setting; 2. Discharged to street; 3. Continued stay in acute care facility; 4. Incarcerated; 5. Client will dropout until next crisis; 6. Not listed (Specify): |
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A 16-year-old young woman is brought into the emergency room of an acute care hospital. She had gotten into an argument with her parents and ended up throwing a chair. There was some indication that she was intoxicated at the time and her parents have been concerned about her coming home late and mixing with the wrong crowd. There has been a lot of family discord and there is mutual anger and frustration between the teen and especially her father. No previous psychiatric or addiction treatment.

The parents are both present at the ER, but the police who had been called by her mother brought her. The ER physician and nurse from the psychiatric unit who came from the unit to evaluate the teen, both feel she needs to be in hospital given the animosity at home, the violent behavior and the question of intoxication. Using the six ASAM assessment dimensions, the biopsychosocial clinical data is organized as follows:

**Dimension 1**, Intoxication/Withdrawal: though intoxicated at home not long before the chair-throwing incident, she is no longer intoxicated and has not been using alcohol or other drugs in large enough quantities for long enough to suggest any withdrawal danger.

**Dimension 2**, Biomedical Conditions/Complications: she is not on any medications, has been healthy physically and has no current complaints.

**Dimension 3**, Emotional/Behavioral/Cognitive: complex problems with the anger, frustration and family discord; chair throwing incident this evening, but is not impulsive at present in the ER.

**Dimension 4**, Readiness to Change: willing to talk to therapist; blames her parents for being overbearing and not trusting her; agrees to treatment, but doesn’t want to be at home at least for tonight.

**Dimension 5**, Relapse/Continued Use/Continued Problem Potential: high likelihood that if released to go back home immediately, there would be a reoccurrence of the fighting and possibly violence again, at least with father.

**Dimension 6**, Recovery Environment: parents frustrated and angry too; mistrustful of patient; and want her in the hospital to cut down on the family fighting.

**Severity Profile:**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dimension</th>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Severity</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Services Needed:**

**Site of Care:**
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RESOURCE FOR ASAM E-LEARNING AND INTERACTIVE JOURNALS

E-learning module on “ASAM Multidimensional Assessment” and “From Assessment to Service Planning and Level of Care” – 5 CE credits for each module. “Introduction to The ASAM Criteria” (2 CEU hours) “Understanding the Dimensions of Change” – Creating an effective service plan” – Interactive Journaling “Moving Forward” – Guiding individualized service planning” – Interactive Journaling

To order: The Change Companies at 888-889-8866; changecompanies.net

CLIENT WORKBOOKS AND INTERACTIVE JOURNALS

The Change Companies’ MEE (Motivational, Educational and Experiential) Journal System provides Interactive journaling for clients. It provides the structure of multiple, pertinent topics from which to choose; but allows for flexible personalized choices to help this particular client at this particular stage of his or her stage of readiness and interest in change.
To order: The Change Companies at 888-889-8866. www.changecompanies.net.

TRAIN FOR CHANGE Inc™

Train for Change Inc™ is a training and consulting company specializing in customized training on The ASAM Criteria, motivational interviewing and other behavioral health modalities. Train for Change Inc™ uses expert trainers to provide training on a variety of evidence-based practices.
www.trainforchange.net.

FREE MONTHLY NEWSLETTER

“TIPS and TOPICS” – Three sections: Savvy, Skills and Soul and at times additional sections: Stump the Shrink; Success Stories and Sharing Solutions www.tipsntopics.com